

# Limited Dependent Variables & Selection: PS #1

Francis DiTraglia

HT 2020

1. Let  $y \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$ .
  - (a) Using steps similar to the derivation of  $\mathbb{E}[y]$  from the lecture slides, show that  $\mathbb{E}[y(y-1)] = \theta^2$ .
  - (b) Use your answer to the preceding part, along with the result  $\mathbb{E}[y] = \theta$ , to show that  $\text{Var}(y) = \theta$ .
2. Suppose that we observe count data  $y_1, \dots, y_N \sim \text{iid } p_\theta$  and our model  $f(y_i|\theta)$  is a  $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$  probability mass function. Show that  $\widehat{K} = s_y^2/(\bar{y})^2$  where we define  $s_y^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \bar{y})^2$  and  $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i$ .
3. Let  $\widehat{\beta}$  be the conditional maximum likelihood estimator of  $\beta_o$  in a Poisson regression model with conditional mean function  $\mathbb{E}(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i) = \exp(\mathbf{x}_i'\beta_o)$ , based on a sample of iid observations  $(y_1, \mathbf{x}_1), \dots, (y_N, \mathbf{x}_N)$ .
  - (a) Derive the first-order conditions for  $\widehat{\beta}$ .
  - (b) Using your answer to the previous part show that, so long as  $\mathbf{x}_i$  includes a constant, the residuals  $\widehat{u}_i \equiv y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}_i'\widehat{\beta})$  sum to zero, as in OLS regression.
  - (c) Using your answer to the preceding part, show that  $\left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \exp(\mathbf{x}_i'\widehat{\beta}) \right] = \bar{y}$ , where  $\bar{y}$  is the sample mean of  $y$ , so that  $\bar{y}\widehat{\beta}_j$  equals the estimated average partial effect of  $x_j$  in this model.
  - (d) Explain why multiplying the estimated coefficients from this model by  $\bar{y}$  makes them roughly comparable to the corresponding OLS estimates from the model  $y_i = \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\theta} + \varepsilon_i$ .
4. *This question is adapted from Wooldridge (2010).* To answer it you will need to use the dataset `SMOKE.RAW`, which can either be downloaded from the MIT Press website for the text, or loaded directly into R using the package `Wooldridge`. Documentation for the dataset is available in the R package or alternatively at <http://fmwww.bc.edu/ec-p/data/wooldridge/smoke.des>
  - (a) Use a linear regression to predict *cigs*, the number of cigarettes smoked each day, using the regressors  $\log(\text{cigpric})$ ,  $\log(\text{income})$ , *restaurn*, *white*, *educ*, *age*, and  $\text{age}^2$ . Interpret your findings. In particular: are cigarette prices and income statistically significant predictors? Does this depend on whether you use robust standard errors?

- (b) Repeat the preceding part but estimate a *Poisson* regression with an exponential conditional mean function rather than a linear regression. Calculate the APEs for the Poisson model and compare them to the OLS estimates.
- (c) If you calculated standard errors using the Poisson variance assumption, are cigarette prices and income statistically significant? Compare to your OLS results from above.
- (d) Calculate  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ . Does your estimate suggest evidence of overdispersion? If you use the Quasi-Poisson Variance assumption, how do your results compare to those of the preceding part?
- (e) How do your answers to the preceding two parts change if you instead use the fully-robust “sandwich” standard errors?